A general social contagion dynamic in interconnected lattices
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HIGHLIGHTS

• We propose a generalized social contagion model on two interconnected planar lattices.
• The dependency of prevalence on the transmission rate is always continuous.
• The social contagions could be strongly promoted.

ABSTRACT

Research on dynamical processes in interconnected spatial networks has expanded in recent years, but there has been little focus on social contagions. Using a general social contagion model, we numerically study how an interconnected spatial system composed of two interconnected planar lattices influences social contagion dynamics. When information is transmitted and allows for a probability of behavior adoption, strongly interconnected lattices stimulate the contagion process and significantly increase the final density of adopted individuals. We perform a finite-size analysis and confirm that the dependency of prevalence on the transmission rate is continuous regardless of the adoption probability. The prevalence grows discontinuously with the adoption probability even when the transmission rate is low. Although a high transmission rate or a high adoption probability increases the final adopted density in weak interconnected lattices, the prevalence always grows continuously in these networks. These findings help us understand social contagion dynamics in interconnected lattices.

1. Introduction

Many real-world networks are systems in which isolated networks are interconnected [1–3]. Nodes in communications networks, for example, are strongly interconnected with nodes in electrical power networks [2]. These systems have both internal connectivity links within each individual network and also interconnections between the networks that significantly influence the dynamical processes that spread through them [4–8]. In epidemic propagation a susceptible individual in contact with an infected individual becomes infected with a probability \( \beta \). For example in the susceptible–infected–susceptible (SIS) epidemic model, above a critical threshold \( \beta_c \), the epidemic is not able to propagate in a single network, but a global endemic state may occur even in a coupled system with a small number of interlink connections [9]. In strongly interconnected network systems, the susceptible–infected–recovered (SIR) epidemic model does not spread below a critical
infection strength, but in weakly coupled network systems a mixed phase transition of the final epidemic size occurs below this critical value [10]. Many real-world systems such as power grids and communication networks are spatially embedded [11–14] and are typically modeled using Euclidean lattices [15,16]. The heterogeneous coupling between interconnected lattices strongly promotes cooperation in the prisoner’s dilemma game [17]. In the SIS model, when infection rates are low the interconnected spatial constraints in a lattice cause the fraction of infected individuals in interconnected lattices to be lower than in interconnected Erdős–Rényi (ER) networks that are characterized by a Poisson degree distribution with an average degree \( k = 4 \) [18]. When infection rates are high, however, the infection density is higher in interconnected lattices than in interconnected ER networks [18].

Unlike the dynamics of epidemics, the dynamics of social contagions [19–25], which can range from the adoption of social innovations [26–30] to the spread of healthy behaviors in response to patterns of human obesity [31], are strongly affected by social reinforcement. Previous studies have shown that multiple affirmations of the credibility of a piece of news or a new trend strongly affect social contagion. To be specific, the probability that an individual will adopt a new social behavior depends on social reinforcement, which is often measured in terms of the number of contacts an individual has with credible neighbors who have already adopted the behavior [32–36]. In a threshold model widely used to describe the social reinforcement effect, each individual is either susceptible (S) or adopted (A) [37]. A susceptible individual adopts a social behavior when the number or fraction of adopted neighbors exceeds an adoption threshold. In the numerous studies that show how network structure strongly influences this threshold model [38–43], the social reinforcement of social contagions is powered by nonredundant information transmissions from neighbors, i.e., the repeat transmission of the same information between two neighbors is disallowed. Because in some examples of social contagion, such as the spread of high-risk social movements, avant garde fashions, and unproven technologies [35], information transmissions between two individuals is only partially effective because susceptible individuals regard the credibility and legitimacy of the new behavior to be limited [31]. Based on the nonredundant social contagion dynamic in an isolated complex network, Wang et al. [20] found a phase transition in which the dependence of the final adoption size on the transmission probability changes from discontinuous to continuous [20]. However spatial characteristics of complex networks are often neglected in the study of nonredundant social contagions dynamics.

Here we use a novel social contagion model to study non-redundant social contagions in interconnected spatial networks. We show how the interconnected lattices influence the level of social contagion, and we explore the role of interconnections in phase transitions. In general, we find the discontinuous phase transition in the order parameter of the giant connected cluster of adoption prevalence in strongly interconnected lattice networks and the continuous phase transition in weakly interconnected lattice networks. Specially, although the social contagions could be strongly promoted high adoption probability in both weak and strong interconnected networks, the type of phase transition is continuous. The finite-size analyses are performed to locate the scaling relations near the transition points of discontinuous and continuous phase transitions.

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2 we describe an interconnected spatial network and a social dynamics model. In Section 3 we use a finite-size analysis to study the phase transition. In Section 4 we present our conclusions.

2. Model

2.1. Interconnected lattice network

Many real-world systems, such as power grids and communication networks, are spatially embedded and are typically modeled using Euclidean lattices [15,16]. Because interconnections between networks can significantly influence the dynamic processes that spread through them, our interconnected spatial network is composed of two identical square lattices \( A \) and \( B \) of linear size \( L \) and \( N = L \times L \) nodes with periodic boundaries. The two lattices represent two different social groups. For example, a couple can discuss household products in their friendship group. A wife or husband adopts a new product if many of their friends have adopted it. If either the wife or husband adopts it, the other also adopts it. In each lattice all nodes are arranged in a matrix of \( L \times L \), and each node is connected to its four lattice neighbors via connectivity links (i.e., links between two nodes in the same lattice). Because there may be interconnections between individuals in group \( A \) with individuals in group \( B \) (e.g., relationships between friends), we randomly select a fraction \( p \) of nodes in lattice \( A \) to be connected with a random fraction \( p \) of nodes in lattice \( B \). Here each interconnected node has only one interconnected link. The total number of interconnected links in the interconnected spatial network is determined by the parameter \( p \), i.e., the number of interconnected links is \( pN \). Note that the greater the number of interconnected links the higher the level of interconnection between the two lattices. For simplicity we define the networks with a large value of \( p \) to be strongly interconnected, and those with a small value of \( p \) to be weakly interconnected.

2.2. Dynamic of social contagions

We designate each node in the interconnected lattices to be either susceptible (S), adopted (A), or recovered (R). Susceptible individuals have not adopted the behavior and are susceptible to receiving behavior information. Adopted individuals have adopted the behavior and are able to transmit the information to susceptible neighbors. Recovered individuals have become immune to the behavior and are no longer a part of the system. Within the same lattice, individuals...
Fig. 1. (Color online) Sketch of the ways of adopting the social behavior on interconnected lattices. (a) Intra-layer propagation: At $t = 1$, the individual $A_i$ is successfully exposed to one adopted neighbor, and now the number of new received information is $m_i(1) = 1$ and $m_i$ increases by 1. There are no more new adopted neighbors over the next $k - 2$ time steps, and $m_i$ remains unchanged. At $t = k$, two new adopted neighbors successfully transmit the information to $i$, and now $m_i(k) = 2$. The individual $A_i$ becomes adopted with probability $\pi(m_i)$, where $m_i = \sum_{t=0}^{k} m_i(t) = 3$. (b) Inter-layer propagation: At $t = k$, $A_i$ is adopted. At $t = k + 1$, its interconnected node $B_i$ becomes adopted due to the inter-layer propagation.

We implement the social contagion dynamic simulation as follows. At the initial stage we randomly select a density of 0.05% of lattice $A$ individuals in the system to be adopted, and we designate all others susceptible. These adopted seeds produce several isolated connected clusters of adopted nodes. Each susceptible individual receives $m_i$ information units from adopted neighbors, $m_i = 0$ initially. At each time step, each adopted individual transmits with a probability $\beta$ the behavior information to susceptible neighbors in the same lattice via connectivity links. When a susceptible node $i$ receives the information from an adopted neighbor for the first time, $m_i$ increases by one and the adoption probability becomes $\pi(m_i + 1)$ and $\pi$ increases with $m_i$ and $\epsilon$. The information transmission from the adopted neighbor to node $i$ is forbidden in the following contagion process, which means that the information from an adopted node to one of its susceptible neighbors can only be transmitted once. When node $i$ becomes adopted, interlayer infection causes the susceptible interconnected node to become adopted at the next time step. Infected nodes can then lose interest in the social behavior and with a probability $\mu$ become recovered. When an adopted node recovers it no longer propagates the social behavior. Each time step is discrete and increases by $\Delta t = 1$. The dynamics of social contagion evolve until the system reaches the steady state where there are no more adopted nodes in the network, and the only possible states are S or R. Thus in the steady state the fraction of nodes in the giant component of recovered nodes is the order parameter of the phase transition.

3. Results

We here perform extensive numerical simulations of social contagions in interconnected lattice networks. We define the effective infection rate $\lambda = \beta/\mu$, and set the recovery probability $\mu$ to 1. Fig. 2 shows an analysis of the prevalence (i.e., the average density of final recovered individuals) as a function of the transmission probability $\lambda$ in lattice $A$. Here the...
large adoption probability (i.e., $\epsilon = 0.8$) ensures a high information adoption rate and increases the prevalence. Networks with high $p$ values have a high level of interlayer infection and propagate the behavior more easily than when the $p$ value is low. Fig. 2 shows that there is a phase transition in the prevalence $R$ at a critical threshold $\lambda_c$ that depends on the fraction of interconnected nodes $p$ and the adoption probability $\epsilon$. As $p$ and $\epsilon$ increase, the critical threshold $\lambda_c$ decreases, and the system becomes more resilient to be in the adopted state. Because networks $A$ and $B$ are symmetrical, we show only $R$ in network $A$.

Fig. 3 uses a finite-size analysis to study the order parameter of the phase transitions (i.e., the fraction of nodes in the giant component of recovered nodes $G^1$) for different lattice sizes $L$. In each subgraph the difference in the values of $G^1$ for different $L$ is very small when $\lambda$ is larger than some value. For example, the values of $G^1$ for different $L$ are approximately
To find the scaling relation near the critical points, we use the least-squares method to fit $\epsilon$ that corresponds to the peak for each $L$ is defined to be the critical point of the continuous phase transition (i.e., $\epsilon_c(L)$). To find the scaling relation near the critical points, we use the least-squares method to fit $\epsilon_c'$ versus $\epsilon_c'$ versus $1/L$. We find that $\epsilon_c' - \epsilon_c' \sim (1/L)^0.5516$ at $\epsilon_c' = 0.9875$. Fig. 6(c) shows that when $p = 0.9$, $\lambda = 0.7$, and the peak of the NOI versus $\epsilon$ shifts to the left with $L$. We define the value of $\epsilon$ corresponding to the peak for each $L$ to be the critical point of the discontinuous phase transition (i.e., $\epsilon_c(L)$). We then use the least-squares method to fit $\epsilon_c(L) - \epsilon_c(L)$ versus $1/L$. We find that $\epsilon_c(L) - \epsilon_c(L) \sim (1/L)^0.2232$ at $\epsilon_c' = 0.2089$.

### 4. Conclusions

We have studied social contagions in interconnected lattices and propose a general threshold model to describe the social reinforcement of social contagions. Our model shows that a susceptible individual adopts a new behavior with a probability proportional to the number of cumulative units of information they have received from adopted neighbors in the same lattice. They also adopt a new behavior if their interconnected node in the other lattice has adopted it. We first investigate the prevalence versus the transmission rate $\lambda$. Although a high adoption probability $\epsilon$ strongly promotes social contagions in both weakly and strongly interconnected networks, the phase transition of the prevalence is continuous. For a given transmission rate, we study prevalence versus adoption probability $\epsilon$ and find that a strongly interconnected structure increases the prevalence. In strongly interconnected networks we find discontinuous phase transitions even when the transmission rate is low. In weakly interconnected networks we find continuous phase transitions. We use a finite-size simulation method to validate our theoretical predictions.
Fig. 5. (Color online) The fraction of nodes in the giant component of the recovered nodes $G^1$ as a function of $\epsilon$ within different $L$. In subfigures (a)–(d), the parameters are respectively chosen as $p = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.4$, $p = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.7$, $p = 0.9$, $\lambda = 0.4$ and $p = 0.9$, $\lambda = 0.7$. The results are averaged over $10^2 \times 10^3$ independent realizations in $10^2$ networks.

analysis to locate scaling relations near the transition points both in discontinuous and continuous phase transitions. For example, when $p = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.4$, the scaling relation is $\epsilon^I_{II} - \epsilon^I_{II}(L) \sim (1/L)^{0.5516}$ at $\epsilon^I_{II} = 0.9875$. When $p = 0.9$, $\lambda = 0.7$, we find that $\epsilon^I_{II}(L) - \epsilon^I_{II} \sim (1/L)^{0.2232}$ at $\epsilon^I_{II} = 0.2089$. Our results indicate that spatial interconnections are important in complex contagions, and they may help us understand the phase transitions that occur in the social contagion process.

Our theoretical research here on the dynamics of social contagions could have a reference value when predicting and understanding the spread of news, products, and political points of view, but further theoretical studies are needed because the non-Markovian character of our model and the non-local tree-like structure of our interconnected lattice make describing the dynamical correlations among the states of neighbors difficult. The social contagions dynamics in interconnected lattices with arbitrary interconnections for each node deserve further study. In addition, the integrative effect of social contagion dynamics on the phase transition when there is limited contact ability [21], co-infections [48], a multilayer system [3], or temporal interactions [49] should be further explored.
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Fig. 6. (Color online) The finite-size analyses near the critical points for $p = 0.1, \lambda = 0.4$ (a–b) and $p = 0.9, \lambda = 0.7$ (c–d). (a) $G^2$ versus $\epsilon$. (b) $\epsilon \mathrm{II}_{C} - \epsilon \mathrm{II}_{C} (L)$ versus $1/L$. (c) NOI versus $\epsilon$. (d) $\epsilon \mathrm{I}_{C} (L) - \epsilon \mathrm{I}_{C}$ versus $1/L$. The arrows in (c) and (d) mark the intersection points. The results are averaged over $10^2 \times 10^3$ independent realizations in $10^2$ networks.
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