Economic and political effects on currency clustering dynamics
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The symbolic performance of a currency describes its position in the FX markets independent of a base currency and allows the study of central bank policy and the assessment of economic and political developments

1. Introduction

Similar to other financial markets, exchange rates between different currencies are determined by the laws of supply and demand in the forex market. Additionally, market participants (financial institutions, traders, and investors) consider macroeconomic factors such as interest rates and inflation to assess the value of a currency. Central banks may participate in the forex market as well, when pursuing their fiscal and monetary policy goals. The degree of central bank interventions (CBIs) in the market determines the regime in which a currency trades. Some central banks peg their currency to another currency, using their assets in the market to accomplish a fixed exchange rate. If a central bank does not intervene, its currency is considered free-floating, meaning that the exchange rate is mostly determined by market forces. Some central banks allow their currency to float freely within a certain range, in a so-called managed float regime. The value of any given currency is expressed with respect to the rest of the market through pairwise exchange rates. Currency quotes thus exhibit an important difference to equity, fixed income or commodity markets where prices of these assets are quoted in one specific currency. A consequence of this is that the appreciation of one currency implies the depreciation of the currency against which it is traded. This structural property of the market in combination with the strong influence by macroeconomic fundamentals and central...
banks as market participants have led to specific characteristic behaviors for currencies.

Qualitatively, we can distinguish between hard and soft currencies; hard currencies are considered a store of value due to their stability even in adverse global economic conditions, and soft currencies are more volatile, for example due to deteriorating economic conditions in respective countries. Examples of hard currencies are typically the US dollar, the euro or the Japanese yen (Hossfeld and MacDonald 2015). The Venezuelan bolivar, on the other hand, and its continued devaluation over the last decade is an example of a soft currency.

Alternatively, we can distinguish between reserve currencies, funding currencies, and commodity currencies. Reserve currencies are currencies which central banks typically hold as foreign exchange reserves, for which they prefer hard currencies (Habit and Stracca 2012). Most of the world’s currency reserves are held in the US dollar or euro, and to a lesser extent in the British pound and Japanese yen. As a result, until the recent inclusion of the Chinese yuan, these four currencies also comprised the currency basket used for accounting purposes at the International Monetary Fund (IMF).† Funding currencies are currencies which can be borrowed at low interest rates. Historically, the Swiss franc and the Japanese yen have been used to fund purchases of currencies with higher interest rates, for example. Commodity currencies are currencies of countries whose economic output strongly depends on the price of one or more commodities. Examples of commodity currencies include the Norwegian krona due to Norway’s significant oil exports or the Australian dollar due to Australia’s significant exports of metals and coal.

It becomes clear that the exchange rate of two currencies is therefore determined by their own idiosyncratic behaviors and economic factors as well as by their relationships with other currencies in the market. The structure and the characteristics of the foreign exchange market pose an extraordinary challenge to traders and researchers. The choice of the transaction or base currency influences the results, which has been observed in the literature in several works and in many different contexts. Papell and Theodoridis (2001) analyze efforts to calculate the purchasing power parity (PPP) via panel tests. They show that the choice of base currency influences the outcomes of PPP-tests. Recognizing that the choice of base currency affects the correlation between different currencies, Hovanov et al. (2004) create a currency index to determine the value of an individual currency within the global foreign market, independent of base currency. Many network approaches to understanding the foreign exchange markets rely on correlation measures. Gorski et al. (2008) and Kwapień et al. (2009) investigate the effect of base currency on interrelationships between currencies when studied through a network lens. They provide evidence that the topology of the foreign exchange network and the structure of its minimum spanning tree is different for different base currencies.

In this paper, we present a novel approach to address these issues. Instead of considering currency pairs, we treat each currency $i$ in a market of $K$ currencies as an individual entity with assigned symbolic performance $\zeta_i$. This approach introduces a measure independent of base currency to investigate the hierarchy and the dynamics of the FX market. We aim to encode the relationship of each currency with the remaining currencies in the market into one quantity. Instead of considering all currency pairs for a given currency we compress information of its pairwise exchange rates into one quantity for each currency. We do this by measuring the relative performance of a currency in relation to other currencies, and we call this measure the symbolic performance.

Using the symbolic performance, we investigate how a currency’s role evolves within the market in the wake of changing economic conditions. As exchange rates are affected by monetary policy, we especially consider central banks’ currency interventions that may be conducted directly, for example, if a central bank purchases or sells the domestic currency. In more extreme cases central banks may introduce a cap on or a peg of its currency, backing this policy by currency transactions. Data for interventions of this kind are publicly available for the Swiss franc, the Mexican peso, the Singapore dollar and Japanese yen among the currencies considered in this paper.

In the literature, the effects of CBIs on foreign exchange rates have been studied by various techniques, particularly focusing on volatility of exchange rates. These techniques include GARCH type models (Almekinders and Eijffinger 1996, Baillie and Osterberg 1997b,a, Domínguez 1998, Beine et al. 2002), implied volatility estimation of currency options (Bonser-Neal and Tanner 1996, Domínguez 1998), regime-switching analysis of mean and variance of exchange rates (Beine et al. 2003), realized volatility estimation (Domínguez 2006, Beine et al. 2009, Cheng et al. 2013), time series study of news reports (Fatum and Hutchison 2002), and event study of CBIs (Fatum and Hutchison 2002, 2003, Fatum 2008).

Most of the works quoted consider only three currencies—the German deutschmark (euro), Japanese yen and US dollar—and study the respective CBIs of the German Bundesbank (European Central Bank), Bank of Japan (BOJ) and Federal Reserve System on their domestic currency. Our approach, however, explicitly incorporates information of currencies whose central banks did not intervene in the time period being analyzed. This methodological distinction allows us to examine not only effects of CBIs on the domestic currency, but on the currency embedded in the FX market.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. We present our foreign exchange data set in Section 2. We lay out the framework and the methodology of obtaining the symbolic performance measure in Section 3. In Section 4, we study the statistics of symbolic performances for the entire time horizon as well as for specific subintervals. In particular, we present the results of our cluster analysis revealing the temporal evolution of the symbolic performances and identifying different roles currencies play within the FX market. We link changes in roles and behaviors of currencies to central bank interventions as well as economic shocks. We offer our conclusion in Section 5.

†The accounting currency of the IMF are the so-called Special Drawing Rights, and as of 2018 their value is determined through a weighted basket of the US dollar, euro, Japanese yen, British pound and Chinese yuan.
2. Data

We download currency exchange rate time series from OANDA via an open access API.‡ Our data comprises the following currencies, which are all quoted in terms of euro (EUR) and listed in alphabetical order of their ISO currency code: Australian dollar (AUD), Canadian dollar (CAD), Swiss franc (CHF), British pound (GBP), Hong Kong dollar (HKD), Japanese yen (JPY), Mexican peso (MXN), Norwegian krone (NOK), New Zealand dollar (NZD), Swedish krona (SEK), Singapore dollar (SGD), US dollar (USD), and South African rand (ZAR). These currencies cover 14 of the 20 globally most traded currencies and each of them accounts for a share of at least 1% of average daily turnover in April 2016 according to Bank for International Settlements (2016). Since OANDA does not provide sufficiently complete data for the remaining six currencies, we omit them in our analysis. This selection of currencies gives us 14 distinct exchange rate time series when we include a dummy euro time series which consists of only ones. The data set spans from 2 January 2005 to 9 May 2017, a period of more than 12 years. The start date is chosen such that we can observe a time window as long as possible while at the same time maintaining the quality of the data. There is no trading on certain holidays as well as from Friday night to Sunday day. We download exchange rates in 10-minute time intervals. Note that our results are independent of the time resolution of the underlying data set. When studying currency dynamics, however, a large amount of data is a prerequisite, and therefore we consider observations in 10-minute time intervals.

We treat missing data as follows: If no euro pair has been traded at all in a given 10-minute interval, the OANDA platform does not report any exchange rates for this time point. If one particular pair is not traded in a given 10-minute interval, the OANDA platform records a return of zero. If more than two euro pairs have not been traded, we discard this time point. If at most two euro pairs have not been traded, we impute data by drawing values from a normal distribution. We estimate mean and variance of that normal distribution allowing us to specify on which day an intervention has taken place and to associate it with a two-week window describing the currency roles.

3. Methodology

3.1. Exchange rates and returns

We study a financial market consisting of $K$ assets. Since we consider the foreign exchange market, each asset $i = 1, \ldots, K$, is a currency and will be linked to the remaining $K - 1$ currencies through their exchange rate $S_{ij}(t)$ at time $t$, where $j = 1, \ldots, K, j \neq i$. Obviously $S_{ii} = 1$. In matrix form, this becomes

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S_{1,2}(t) & \cdots & S_{1,K}(t) \\ S_{2,1}(t) & 1 & \cdots & S_{2,K}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{K,1}(t) & S_{K,2}(t) & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Each row of this matrix describes exchange rates given a base currency, while each column of the matrix describes exchange rates given a counter currency. In the absence of a bid-ask spread, the reciprocal relationship implies $S_{ij}(t) = 1/S_{ji}(t)$.

Using the structure of the FX market, we can construct this matrix from the exchange rates of just one currency with all other currencies at time $t$. Under the assumption of no arbitrage, the exchange rates $S_{ij}(t)$ and $S_{ik}(t)$ imply the exchange rate $S_{jk}(t)$ via $S_{jk}(t) = S_{ij}(t)S_{ik}(t)$. It then suffices to consider the exchange rate vector $\mathbf{S}(t)$ at time $t$ which comprises of the exchange rates of currency $i$, acting as a base currency, with all other currencies:

$$\mathbf{S}_i(t) = \{S_{i,1}(t), S_{i,2}(t), \ldots, S_{i,K}(t)\}. \quad (2)$$

It carries complete information of the system, in that the outer product of the vector and its inverse yields the exchange rate matrix in equation (1),

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = \mathbf{S}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}_i(t) \cdot \{(S_{i,1}(t), S_{i,2}(t), \ldots, S_{i,K}(t))\}. \quad (3)$$

In this study, we calculate logarithmic returns between consecutive exchange rates in a time interval $\Delta t = 10$ minutes:

$$R_{ij}(t) = \log S_{ij}(t) - \log S_{ij}(t - \Delta t). \quad (4)$$

‡ For example, http://developer.oanda.com

† For example, http://www.banxico.org.mx/sistema-financiero/estadisticas/mercado-cambiario/banco-mexico-s-foreign-exchan.html

‡ For example, http://www.reuters.com/article/global-forex-bojidUSL8N15Q4BY
Analogous to equation (1), we can write the exchange rate returns in matrix form:

$$R(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{1,2}(t) & \cdots & R_{1,K}(t) \\ R_{2,1}(t) & 0 & \cdots & R_{2,K}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{K,1}(t) & R_{K,2}(t) & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Here the reciprocal relationship becomes $R_{ij}(t) = -R_{ji}(t)$.

### 3.2. Symbolic performance

Considering the foreign exchange return matrix in equation (5), it is intuitively clear that a base currency with a large number of positive returns appreciates overall, whereas a base currency with a large number of negative returns depreciates overall. This information for a given base currency $i$ is stored in the $i$th row of the return matrix (5).

We introduce the symbolic performance $\zeta_i(t)$ which we define as the difference between the number of positive and negative returns currency $i$, acting as base currency, has at time $t$. This is achieved by applying the sign-function to returns $R_{ij}(t)$ in the return matrix, yielding +1 for positive returns and −1 for negative returns, and summing row-wise:

$$\zeta_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \text{sgn} R_{ij}(t). \quad (6)$$

We know that $\text{sgn} R_{ij}(t) = -\text{sgn} R_{ji}(t)$. In other words, if the currency $i$ rises with respect to currency $j$, then currency $j$ falls with respect to currency $i$. The symbolic performance vector then lists the symbolic performances $\zeta_i(t)$ of all currencies at time $t$,

$$\xi(t) = (\zeta_1(t), \zeta_2(t), \ldots, \zeta_K(t)) \quad (7)$$

By construction, the symbolic performance can take values from $-K + 1$ to $K - 1$ in steps of 2, and for a given time $t$ each value appears exactly once; this means $\sum_i \zeta_i(t) = 0$. As a consequence, if currency $j$ has a larger return than currency $k$ with respect to the base currency $i$, then currency $j$ will appreciate with respect to currency $k$. It is important to point out that the symbolic performance is a measure for each asset itself. We get $K$ values $\zeta_i(t), \ i = 1, \ldots, K$, which describe the behavior of the $K$ currencies individually, while containing the correlation structure of the FX market.

In a simple model, we can decompose the variance of a given return time series into one part which corresponds to the state of the market, i.e. the sum of the variances of all returns, and into another part which corresponds the idiosyncratic variance. While the symbolic performance of a given currency contains information about all other currencies, it does not provide information about the magnitude of an average return at a given time. In other words, it disregards the variance of the return time series due to the state of the market.

However, it retains information on the idiosyncratic variance of the return time series of currencies. It is easy to see that equation (6) is equivalent to a ranking of returns given a fixed base currency $i$ where the largest positive (negative) return is assigned the largest positive (negative) value for $\zeta_i(t)$:

$$\zeta_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \text{sgn} R_{ij}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{K} [2 \text{H}(R_{ij}(t)) - 1]$$

$$= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathbb{1}(R_{ij}(t) \leq R_{ii}(t)) - 1 - K$$

$$= 2 \text{rank} R_{i,1}(t) - (K + 1), \quad (8)$$

where $\text{H}$ denotes the Heaviside step function and $\mathbb{1}$ the indicator function. Note that this is true regardless of our choice of base currency $i$, as pointed out earlier.

Currencies with larger variance relative to the other currencies are more likely to exhibit large swings and thus higher magnitudes of $\zeta_i$ and vice versa. As a result, currencies that tend to be more volatile regardless of market state tend to have more fat-tailed symbolic performance distributions $P(\zeta_i)$. Currencies that take a position at the center of the market in comparison to the remaining currencies tend to have a symbolic performance distribution $P(\zeta_i)$ that is reminiscent of a Gaussian. For the purpose of finding the position of a currency, it is then sufficient to consider the distribution of the absolute values of the symbolic performance, $P(|\zeta_i|)$, a discrete probability distribution which describes how often a currency takes the symbolic performance $-(K - 1)$ or $K - 1$, $-(K - 3)$ or $K - 3$, etc.

### 3.3. k-means ++ clustering

The movement of currencies is closely linked to the macroeconomic developments in the corresponding countries. Therefore the more qualitative description of currencies as, for example, G4 currencies, G10 currencies or commodity currencies, is valid on long time scales. While this classification helps describe the roles and behaviors of currencies in general, economic shifts as well as political or monetary shocks can alter the position of a currency within the market on varying time scales, and the symbolic performance is able to capture these shifts. To investigate these currency dynamics, we evaluate the symbolic performance distributions $P_i(\zeta)$ on reasonably short time scales, from $t$ to $t + \Delta T$, and classify them according to different currency behavior.

We use $k$-means ++ clustering for this task. Cluster analyses find application in many fields, such as, biology and bioinformatics, business and marketing, medicine, social networks, computer science, climate and weather research, and data mining, where they are used to discover similarities and patterns in large amounts of data. The $k$-means ++ clustering algorithm takes as input a set of vectors as well as a number of clusters or classifications $k$. It then determines $k$ points which serve as cluster centroids such that the overall distance between all data points and their respective cluster center is minimized. This algorithm itself does not require any knowledge of the data set to operate, and it will find a solution
even if the data is not properly clustered into $k$ centers. Therefore, the choice of the number of clusters $k$ becomes critical, as we will explain later in this section.

The algorithm schematically works as follows: Given $N$ different points in $d$-dimensional space, $k$ random points are selected as the initial cluster centers $\mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Each of the $N - k$ remaining points are then associated with the cluster center to which they are closest. After assigning all points to clusters, the cluster centers, defined as the mean of all points in the cluster, will change. The cluster centers are thus re-evaluated before the next iteration, and all points are re-classified to be closest to the new cluster centers, again. This procedure is reiterated until convergence is reached, that is, all points $x$ are distributed in clusters $C_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, with centers $\mu_i$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_i} |x - \mu_i|^2$$

is minimized.

The $k$-means++ algorithm uses the squared Euclidean metric as its distance measure. Recall that we are interested in the classification of symbolic performance distributions $P_t(\xi)$, estimated on time intervals $[t, t + \Delta T]$. To this end we arrange the relative frequencies of $P_t(\xi)$, in a vector,

$$P_t(|\xi|) = \begin{pmatrix} P_t(\xi_1) = \pm(K-1) \\ P_t(\xi_i) = \pm(K-3) \\ \vdots \\ P_t(\xi_{13}) = \pm1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ (10)

Each vector $P_t(|\xi|)$ is a composition. This has implications regarding the distance measure. For compositional data the use of Aitchison’s distance, introduced in Aitchison (1982), is much more appropriate. In contrast, the use of Euclidean distance may be problematic, as Martín-Fernández et al. (1998) and Aitchison et al. (2000) point out. Alternatively, we can apply an isometric log-ratio (ilr) transformation to $P_t(\xi)$. The so-transformed data is then accessible to analytical methods that use the Euclidean metric. Since the scikit-learn package which we use to classify our data requires the Euclidean distance as the distance metric for $k$-means $++$, we apply an ilr transformation and cluster the results. In the last step, we perform the inverse ilr transformation to express the cluster centers in terms of relative frequencies again.†

In order to be able to provide a meaningful interpretation of the symbolic performance distribution, $\Delta T$ needs to be carefully chosen considering three criteria. One, there is no trading on the OANDA platform for a few hours every weekend. In order to avoid capturing beginning- or end-of-the-week effects, it is sensible that each time window is one week long or multiples of one week. Two, if we choose the length of the window to be too small, we undersample the distribution. Three, if $\Delta T$ is too large, we may miss local trends and fluctuations, and we may limit our ability to resolve the effects of political or economic shocks whose impact can last anywhere from a few days to several months or years. Given our data resolution of 10 minutes, $\Delta T = 2$ weeks appears to be the appropriate choice to satisfy our criteria.

It is worth pointing out that we perform the cluster analysis on the pool of all symbolic performance distributions of all currencies, that is, on the entire FX market. As a result, information about each currency as well as each point in time informs the classification process. In other words, if two different currencies are classified to belong to the same cluster at different times, their respective behavior or role in the market is very comparable.

As pointed out previously, we also have to decide on a reasonable number of clusters $k$, based on the data set. We want to choose as few clusters as possible with as large explanatory power as possible. The gap statistic, introduced by Tibshirani et al. (2001), is a useful metric to determine the appropriate value for $k$ as suggested by the structure of the data. Since $k$-means $+$ $+$ does not penalize model complexity, that is, the number of clusters to be found, increasing $k$ does not increase the value of the cost function. This is true whether the data is clearly clustered or not. The gap statistic contrasts the benefit of adding one more cluster to a structured data set to the benefit of adding one more cluster to a comparable but random and unclustered data set. The gap is the difference in the cost function of clustering these two data sets. We increase $k$, starting with just one cluster, until the size of the gap reaches its first local maximum; at this point adding the $k + 1$th cluster provides diminishing benefits. After performing this analysis on our data set, we conclude that separating our data in six clusters is the most sensible choice.

4. Empirical results

4.1. Overall symbolic performance distributions

Based on the foreign exchange data introduced in Section 2 and the symbolic performances calculated according to equation (6), we analyze the overall symbolic performance distributions $P(\xi_t)$, of all currencies $t = 1, \ldots, 14$, as shown in figure 1. These discrete probability functions indicate how often each currency $i$ has taken each value $\xi_t$ from $-13$ to $+13$ during the entire time period from 2005 to 2017.

We observe that the distributions exhibit characteristic shapes for different currencies. Some are clearly convex, like the distributions for the Japanese yen, the New Zealand dollar, and the South African rand. Others are clearly concave, like the distributions for the euro, the Hong Kong dollar, the Singapore dollar, and the US dollar. This reflects the degree to which extent currencies tend to exhibit large swings, that is, have large volatility relative to the remaining currencies regardless of market conditions on one hand, or to which currencies tend to stay in the center of the market on the other. Irrespective of their shapes, however, all distributions are quite symmetric around 0.‡

† By using the absolute values of the symbolic performances, we reduce the dimensionality from $K$ to $K/2$, and by applying the ilr transformation, we further reduce it to $K/2 - 1$. This mitigates the problem of sparsity of high-dimensional spaces significantly.

‡ We make use of this feature in our cluster analysis by considering only the absolute value of the symbolic performance and its distribution.
Figure 1. Overall symbolic performance distributions $P(\zeta), i = 1, \ldots, 14$, for the currencies in our data set. We can distinguish between a few different shapes, characterized by their curvature: Some currencies exhibit high probabilities at the center and small probabilities in the tails. Other currencies’ probability mass functions are fairly uniform. Some currencies exhibit comparably small probabilities at the center and high probabilities in the tails. At the extremes we find the euro (EUR) with very concave curvature and the South African rand (ZAR) with very convex curvature.

We describe the distributions based on the appearance of maxima in the center or at the tails; in other words we can distinguish the currencies’ performance distributions by their curvature:

(i) Strongly concave: EUR, HKD, SGD, USD.
(ii) Slightly concave: CHF, GBP.
(iii) Fairly flat: CAD, SEK.
(iv) Slightly convex: AUD, MXN, NOK.
(v) Strongly convex: JPY, NZD, ZAR.

Let us first consider the tail-heavy distributions. Currencies like the ZAR with an overall convex symbolic performance distribution are most likely to exhibit returns that are larger in magnitude than all other returns. These currencies tend to outperform or underperform the rest of the market. This does not, however, imply that these currencies necessarily are currencies with large exchange rate volatility. We can easily change the base pair to change the exchange rate volatility of a currency. When measured in euro, the Swiss franc, for example, is the currency with the lowest exchange rate volatility, but when measured in US dollar, the Swiss franc exhibits higher volatility. This underscores the usefulness of the symbolic performance as a measure of relative volatility since it takes into consideration all currency pairs at once.

Currencies like the EUR or USD with strongly concave symbolic performance distributions tend to maintain a position at the center of the market. This means that they are
unlikely to consistently strongly appreciate or depreciate against other currencies.

Instead, they serve as some form of reference against which other currencies are held. Consider an imaginary currency with true reference status. The size of its returns would be distributed like a normal distribution centered around zero with a very small standard deviation due to minor fluctuations. As a consequence, large fluctuations would be very unlikely, and likewise the chance for being at the extreme positions of the market would be very small as compared to other currencies.

We also identify currencies which appear to take all symbolic performance values and therefore roles in the market with similar frequency. This can be part of the behavior of the currencies, or it can be a mixture of periods in which their symbolic performance distributions exhibit concave curvature and periods in which they exhibit convex curvature.

4.2. Dynamics of symbolic performance distributions

According to the 2016 Triennial Central Bank Survey of FX and over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets, the daily trading volume of the foreign exchange market exceeds 5 trillion USD.‡ This enormous volume results in high liquidity and rich dynamics, which are enhanced by central banks interventions as well as major political developments with macroeconomic consequences. The clustering procedure introduced and explained in Section 3.3 is able describe the impact of such events. The results of the cluster analysis are presented in figures 2 and 3. Training the algorithm on the symbolic performance distributions \(P_\tau (\zeta_i )\) estimated on time intervals \([t, t + \Delta t]\) with \(\Delta t = 2\) weeks for all \(t\), the \(k\)-means ++ algorithm identifies six cluster centers corresponding to six distinct distributions \(P(\zeta)\). Figure 2 shows these characteristic symbolic performance distributions found by the \(k\)-means ++ algorithm. Figure 3 exhibits how the currencies evolve through the clusters over time, that is, which symbolic performance distribution corresponding to certain currency behaviors describes them.

Table 1 offers a summary of how often each currency is classified into each cluster during the 12 year observation period. The euro and the US dollar occupy clusters 1 and 2 most often. The Japanese yen, the New Zealand dollar, and the South African rand can be found at the opposite end, as they are most often classified into clusters 5 and 6.

We can distinguish two different groups of currencies: Currencies that maintain their role in the foreign exchange market over a long time and spend the majority of the observation period in one or two clusters, and currencies that change their role significantly over time, either in the form of short bursts or long-term shifts. A typical example of the first group is the euro which maintains its reference role almost throughout the entire time period. Typical examples for the second group are the British pound with the sudden change in clusters after the Brexit vote in June 2016, and the Swiss franc with drastic changes in September 2011 (pegging to the euro) and January 2015 (unpegging from the euro).

In the following we further investigate a selection of currencies which serve as an example to demonstrate the ability of our methodology to resolve currency dynamics in response to economic and political interventions have occurred. These are in descending order of their average daily turnover in April 2016 according to Bank for International Settlements (2016): US dollar, euro, Japanese yen, British pound, Canadian dollar, Swiss franc, Mexican peso, Singapore dollar, and Hong Kong dollar.

USD. For the majority of the time period the US dollar is associated with clusters 1 and 2 and appears to be a reference currency. In particular, the US dollar shares this role with the euro in cluster 1 during the year 2007, indicating very little movement with respect to the overall market. However, with the onset of the global financial crisis of 2008 the reference role of the US dollar within the foreign exchange market appears to weaken, as bigger swings and movements with respect to the remaining 13 currencies are more prevalent. This phase in which the dollar spends most time in cluster 3 lasts until late 2012. Hereafter the US dollar mostly stays in cluster 2 with some appearances in cluster 1 in 2014, reflecting the upswing of the US economy in the wake of the persisting European sovereign debt crisis and fear of deflation.

EUR. Our analysis confirms the euro as a major reference. This is detailed by its continued presence in cluster 1, despite global and local financial turmoil. During the European sovereign debt crisis, though, the euro leaves cluster 1 to move to cluster 2 more frequently. On 26 July 2012, Mario Draghi announced that the ECB would do ‘whatever it takes’ to protect the euro.‡ This led to a large appreciation of the euro from roughly 1.23 USD to 1.38 USD per euro in the following nine months. As a result of this, the euro leaves cluster 1 for almost a year, and it even briefly moves to cluster 3


Figure 3. Currency clustering dynamics according to the cluster association for the 14 currencies in our data set. Cluster 1 corresponds to reference-like behavior, whereas cluster 6 corresponds to heavy-tail behavior.

and cluster 4, indicating a more volatile period, before returning to cluster 2 and then 1. During most of 2015, the euro is in cluster 3 again, with significant time in cluster 4. Concurrently, no currency belongs to cluster 1 for more than a few months at a time. We can interpret this as a lack of reference in the FX market. Even after the return to cluster 2 in early 2016, the euro occupies cluster 1 only for brief periods of time.

**JPY** Despite its role as one of the IMF reference currencies, the Japanese yen is found at the tails of the market which correspond to relatively large movements. Unlike most other currencies, which tend to stay in the same cluster for extended periods of time and move slowly in figure 3, the Japanese yen appears to switch clusters rather frequently, moving mostly between cluster 5 and 6 starting in the year 2007.

It is noteworthy to highlight that this is not an artifact of the size of the interval we have selected to estimate the symbolic performance distributions, as this observation holds for longer and shorter time intervals. Instead the symbolic performance distribution changes frequently without deviating much from the cluster centers. We observe however that the CBIs trigger the move of the Japanese yen from cluster 6 to cluster 4, where it remains for a while. Likewise, it takes an outside shock for the yen to change its cluster association again and return to cluster 6, as illustrated in figure 4. The first line marks an intervention on September 15, 2010, after reaching a 15-year-low of the US dollar with respect to the yen, and it puts the yen in
Table 1. We report how frequently (as a percentage) each currency appears in each cluster, enumerated from 1 to 6 as in figure 2, as well as the average cluster value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>CAD</th>
<th>CHF</th>
<th>EUR</th>
<th>GBP</th>
<th>HKD</th>
<th>JPY</th>
<th>MXN</th>
<th>NOK</th>
<th>NZD</th>
<th>SEK</th>
<th>SGD</th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>ZAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The euro has the lowest average cluster component, followed by the US dollar, the two main reference currencies in the FX market. The Japanese yen, the New Zealand dollar, and the South African rand stand out as the currencies with the most tail-heavy symbolic performance distributions.

Figure 4. Cluster association of the Japanese yen, highlighting the time points and background of publicly announced central bank interventions.

Figure 5. Cluster association of the British pound, highlighting the Brexit.

The yen is mostly in cluster 3 in 2015; one may suspect that these changes are due to central bank actions. Given the USD/JPY rate development in 2015 and 2016, the BOJ and government officials issued statements indicating the possibility of intervention. Our methodology indicates that these talks and any possible covert interventions have been successful, as the yen stabilized in its standard role in clusters 3 and 4 on the foreign exchange market in 2016. Recently, however, it has returned to clusters 5 and 6. Since figure 4 shows that the yen tends to eventually return to higher clusters a few weeks or months after interventions, we suspect that the BOJ has been intervening less actively or forcefully in the recent couple of years.

GBP Being in cluster 3 for the majority of the time horizon, the British pound plays the role of a rather hard currency. On 23 June 2016, the British people voted to leave the European Union, causing a major shock to the world economy. This is reflected by a move of the British pound to cluster 4 already at announcement of the referendum date, as we observe in figure 5 in more detail, indicating higher relative volatility. In the weeks up to the referendum, uncertainty increased further and the pound was classified into cluster 5. The surprising outcome of the vote caused large movements in exchange rates around the globe, especially involving the British pound. With our methodology we can monitor if the British pound will return to its role or if this kind of political intervention changes the hierarchy in the foreign exchange market in the long run. It will be interesting to analyze whether the outcome of the British referendum to leave the European Union will have a profound and lasting effect on the role and importance of the British pound or whether it will be a short-term shock to the currency. As we shall see, in the case of the Swiss franc we observe that its pegging and unpegging has not permanently changed its position in the market. Instead, it was a temporary change, and within one year of unpegging, the Swiss franc returned to cluster 3 where it was before the major interventions. However, the uncertainty induced by the pending Brexit negotiations with the European Union seems to leave this matter unresolved. While the pound spent only a total of eight weeks in cluster 6, the cluster indicating the largest relative volatility, it since has remained in cluster 5 most of the time.

CAD As can be inferred from figure 3, the Canadian dollar starts out as a currency that tends to stay at the tails of the market, as denoted by its presence in cluster 5. Around late 2008, with the onset of the global financial crisis, the heavy tails become weaker, as shown by its presence in cluster 4. From mid 2011 until late 2013, the Canadian dollar behaves more like the Swiss franc or the British pound, as shown by its association to cluster 3. In 2014 this development appears to revert itself, briefly. Eventually, however, the Canadian dollar maintains clusters 3 and 4. It is crucial to emphasize that the symbolic performance distributions associated with the clusters provide relative information. Therefore, we cannot automatically infer whether the Canadian dollar itself has changed its role or the changes pertain to the rest of the market; instead we have to consider the development of other currencies during these time periods.

CHF In figure 6 we zoom into the cluster association of the Swiss franc, drawing lines for each publicly announced central bank intervention of the Swiss National Bank (SNB). The
Swiss franc exhibits particularly stable behavior prior to the onset of the global financial crisis in 2008, being in cluster 3 most of the time. While the franc is considered a hard currency given the financial and political stability of Switzerland, its use as a funding currency may induce some additional volatility in the foreign exchange rates which include the franc. As a result its behavior is not quite like that of US dollar and euro. Instead it is more comparable to the behavior of the British pound and the Singapore dollar. With the onset of the financial crisis in 2008, the Swiss franc exhibits larger swings than other currencies for a while, but soon returns to cluster 3.

Starting early 2009, the Swiss franc begins an extended period in cluster 2 which is usually only occupied by reference currencies. Additionally, currencies in a managed float regime with respect to a reference currency or a large basket of currencies are associated with cluster 2. We explain this in more detail in our discussion of the Hong Kong dollar. In case of the Swiss franc, we can link this behavior to aggressive action of the SNB which acted to maintain the stability of the franc and curb its appreciation against other major currencies by buying euros and other currencies, effectively managing the exchange rate. These public interventions started in early 2009, and we consider them successful in that they moved the CHF into cluster 1, where we refer to currencies as reference currencies. In the wake of the European sovereign debt crisis, the SNB intervened more frequently, as indicated by the numerous lines in early 2010 in figure 6. During the time of these interventions the Swiss franc stays in clusters 2 and 3 despite significant market pressure. However, eventually the SNB abandoned its policy to purchase euros and other currencies† In the following weeks, the impact that this policy had becomes obvious as the Swiss franc is mostly in cluster 5, corresponding to above-average volatility. A consequence of the end of CBIs was an overall appreciation of the Swiss franc in relation to the euro and the US dollar. These appreciations eventually shifted the Swiss franc to cluster 6 which it had never occupied before.

These large currency movements sparked further CBIs, resulting in the enforcement of a cap on the exchange rate of the Swiss franc to the euro on 6 September 2011, by the Swiss National Bank. Our analysis indicates that after a couple of months the market accepted the SNB’s controlled cap, and the Swiss franc moved back to its more pre-crisis role, appearing in cluster 3 most of the time. Another intervention on 15 January 2015, corresponds to the uncapping of the Swiss franc with respect to the euro. This SNB intervention pushed the Swiss franc in clusters 5 and 6 again. For roughly two months the Swiss franc exhibited heavy tails in the symbolic performance distribution. Over the time scale of a year, it returned to cluster 3, its standard position. Further intervention is suggested to have taken place in early February 2017, inferred from rising sight deposits.§ and we indeed observe a drop in cluster state around that period.

† Speech by Mr Fritz Zurbrügg, Member of the Governing Board of SNB, https://www.bis.org/review/r150330c.pdf

§ http://uk.reuters.com/article/uk-swiss-snb-idUKKBN15S1NU

**SNB Interventions**

Figure 6. Cluster association of the Swiss franc, highlighting the time points of publicly announced CBIs.

**MXN** The Mexican peso has, until late 2011, mostly been classified into cluster 4 with some extended stints in clusters 3 and 5. During this time period the peso therefore can be characterized as a currency of average relative volatility when compared to the remaining currencies in our data set. Given Mexico’s status as an emerging market, this is not surprising. Starting in late 2011, the peso shifts to cluster 5 and remains there for most of a time for a couple of years, reflecting a higher relative volatility. This coincides with growth rates which remained below expectations.

Trade with the United States has a great impact on the Mexican economy since the overwhelming majority of Mexican exports go to the US. Generally the Mexican peso considered a satellite currency of the US dollar, and more than 90% of all peso transactions are trades on the USD/MXN pair. As a result of this close relationship and economic interconnectedness, the Mexican peso is susceptible to political and economic developments that potentially affect the trade relationships with the US. As of 2018, the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) is being renegotiated. The market seems to have anticipated this risk after the surge of Donald Trump in the primary polls of the Republican party in mid to late July 2016, corresponding to a change in cluster of the Mexican peso that moves from cluster 4 to 5 and eventually to 6. It only leaves this cluster to return to cluster 5 following a surprising intervention in February 2016 when Banxico intervened with a ‘shock and awe’ move by selling US dollars and simultaneously increasing the interest rate.§ Following the inauguration of the new president in the US in early 2017, the peso returns to cluster 6 where it remains for the rest of the observation period. This is a behavior characteristic of a commodity currency which is closely correlated to world market prices of commodities which it exports. The higher relative volatility of such currencies reflects the vulnerability of the underlying economies to major market shifts. Our results suggest that the market considers the importance of Mexico’s access to North American markets and its implications for the peso to be similar to that of a commodity exporter sand its exposure to the price of that commodity.

**SGD** Singapore’s central bank is the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS). It is the mission of the MAS to hold the Singapore dollar in a managed float regime to control inflation in Singapore, which is detailed in biannual policy publications. Through direct intervention the MAS holds the Singapore dollar within a fixed band against an undisclosed trade-weighted basket of currencies. This method of controlling inflation stands in contrast to what most other central
banks in our data set do, which is choosing to adjust interest rates to control inflation. Our clustering analyses show the results we would expect given the MAS policies and procedures: First, the Singapore dollar occupies cluster 3 for most of the time, interrupted by moves to cluster 2 and sometimes cluster 4. As the MAS enforces the policy band, bigger overall swings become less likely. This is typical of currencies in clusters 2 and 3. Furthermore, the main trading partners of Singapore comprise Hong Kong and China, whose currencies have been closely linked to the US dollar, and the United States. This implies that the cluster of the Singapore dollar tends to be similar to that of the US dollar. Figure 8 indicates with red vertical lines the times when the MAS publishes its policy updates, and we observe that changes in clusters for the Singapore dollar are often in accordance to these updates.

HKD When a currency is in a managed float regime, the central bank participates in the foreign exchange market. It does so by buying and selling currency to achieve the target rate within a certain band in which the currency is allowed to float. This reduces the relative volatility of a currency in two ways. One, since central banks limit the amount of appreciation and depreciation through their market actions, the volatility of the exchange rates of such a currency is suppressed. Two, when a central bank manages its currency in such a way, it usually does so with respect to a reference currency or a basket of currencies. A reference currency has lower relative volatility than most currencies in the market, as evidenced by the clustering dynamics of the US dollar or the euro. Likewise, the relative volatility of a basket of currencies generally is smaller. As a result the relative volatility of the managed currency is lower as well. In case of the Hong Kong dollar, the currency is managed with respect to the US dollar which explains the similarity of their clustering dynamics. We observe only minor deviations at the end of 2007, where the Hong Kong dollar is in cluster 2 while the US dollar maintains cluster 1, and around 2012, where the Hong Kong dollar stays in cluster 3 while the US dollar moves to cluster 4.

5. Conclusion

We have introduced a symbolic performance measure to quantify the roles of currencies in the foreign exchange market. Instead of investigating currency pairs, this novel approach allows for analysis of currencies individually, embedded within the entire system. We are able to identify the central (or reference) currencies in the foreign exchange market, and unsurprisingly the euro plays a major role, as do the US dollar and the British pound. Our methodology is also effective in observing the roles of currencies over time. We find that, in general, currencies maintain certain positions in the FX market for extended periods of time.

The independence from the choice of base currency in our methodology and its design enable us to closely investigate the characteristics of individual currencies with respect to the rest of the currencies in the network. We use our methodology to analyze the effects of central bank interventions, and we could hypothesize when a covert intervention by a central bank seems to have occurred. Furthermore we can examine the impact of specific shocks to the system, such as the pegging of the Swiss franc with respect to the euro, major interventions of the BOJ, and the vote for Brexit.

We suggest that our methodology can be used to track movements in the foreign exchange market to detect currency interventions, political developments and economic downturns or booms. One natural expansion of this work would be to include analysis of real-time data, monitoring the distribution of symbolic performances on shorter time scales and using observed turbulences in the foreign exchange market for currency forecasting.
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